REMARKS

Claims 1 through 4, 6, 8 through 12, 14 through 20, 22, 24 through 29, 31, 33 through 37 and 39 through 45 are currently pending in the application.

This amendment is in response to the Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 C.F.R.§ 1.121) on March 14, 2005.

Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement

Please note that Supplemental Information Disclosure Statements were filed on May 21, 2004 and November 2, 2004, and that no copies of the PTO-1449's were returned with the outstanding Office Action. Applicants respectfully request that the information cited on the PTO-1449's be made of record herein. It is respectfully requested that an initialed copy of the PTO-1449 evidencing consideration of the cited references be returned to the undersigned attorney.

35 U.S.C. § 112 Claim Rejections

Claims 1 through 4, 6, 8 through 12, 14 through 20, 22, 24 through 29, 31, 33 through 37 and 39 through 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, for the language, "that of a conventional, grounded heat sink," as not being addressed.

Claims 1 through 4, 6, 8 through 12, 14 through 20, 22, 24 through 29, 31, 33 through 37 and 39 through 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Applicants have amended the claimed inventions of independent claims 1, 22, 24, and 25 to delete the claim language directed to "for having a different lead inductance during the operation of the integrated circuit die positioned within the package when compared to that of a conventional, grounded heat sink" to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter of the invention to comply with the provisions of 35 U.S.C. § 112. Therefore, presently amended independent claims 1, 22, 24, and 25 are allowable under the provisions of 35 U.S.C. § 112 as well as the dependent claims therefrom.

Applicants submit that claims 1 through 4, 6, 8 through 12, 14 through 20, 22, 24 through 29, 31, 33 through 37 and 39 through 45 are clearly allowable over the cited prior art.

Applicants request the allowance of claims 1 through 4, 6, 8 through 12, 14 through 20, 22, 24 through 29, 31, 33 through 37 and 39 through 45 and the case passed for issue.

Date: March 16, 2005

JRD/lmh Document in ProLaw Respectfully submitted,

James R. Duzan

Registration No. 28,393

Attorney for Applicants

TRASKBRITT

P.O. Box 2550

Salt Lake City, Utah 84110-2550

lames R. Sunga

Telephone: 801-532-1922